

EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1114 CUTTACK, SATURDAY, JUNE 7, 2008/JAISTHA 17, 1930

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 12th May 2008

No. 5511—Ii/1(B)-41/2007-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 29th April 2008 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 12 of 2007 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial dispute between the management of M/s Nayagarh District Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Nayagarh and its workman represented through Nayagarh District Central Co-operative Bank Workers Union was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 12 of 2007

Dated the 29th April 2008

Present:

Shri Srikanta Nayak, o.s.J.s. (Sr. Branch), Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal,

Bhubaneswar.

Between:

The Secretary, ... First Party—Management

Nayagarh District Central Co-operative Bank Limited, At/P.O./P.S. Nayagarh,

Dist. Nayagarh.

And

The General Secretary, ... Second Party—Workman Navagarh District Central

Co-operative Bank Workers Union,

At/P.O./P.S./Dist. Nayagarh.

Appearances:

For both the parties

. None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department, in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 13134—Ii/1(B)-41/2007-L.E., dated the 5th December 2007:—

- "(1) Whether the demands of Nayagarh District Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Workers Union for grant of D.A. at par with that of State Government Employees and merger of 50% D.A. with Pay is justified and proper? If so, what should be the details".
- "(2) Whether the demand of Nayagarh District Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Workers Union for payment of officiating allowance to the employees officiating in higher posts is justified and proper? If so, what should be the details?"
- 2. In this case neither the second party nor the first party have filed their respective claim statement and written statement. Both the parties have also not taken any steps in the matter. From the conduct of the parties it can reasonably be inferred that they are no more interested to contest the proceeding perhaps for the reason that the dispute has been settled between them amicably out of the court. In the circumstance, a no dispute award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

SRIKANTA NAYAK
29-4-2008
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar

SRIKANTA NAYAK
29-4-2008
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor
G. JENA
Deputy Secretary to Government

Printed and Published by the Director of Printing, Stationery and Publication, Orissa, Cuttack-10 Ex. Gaz.360—193+11